

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

MINUTES OF THE
THE CITY OF SANTA FE & SANTA FE COUNTY
BUCKMAN DIRECT DIVERSION BOARD MEETING
May 3, 2018

9 1. This meeting of the Santa Fe County/City Buckman Direct Diversion Board meeting
10 was called to order by Councilor Peter Ives, Chair, at approximately 4:20 p.m. the City
11 Council Chambers, 200 Lincoln, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

12
13 2. Roll was called and a quorum was present with the following members present:

14
15 **BDD Board Members Present:**

16 Councilor Peter Ives
17 Councilor Michael Harris
18 Commissioner Anna Hamilton
19 Commissioner Anna Hansen [County alternate]

20
21 **Member(s) Excused:**

22 Commissioner Henry Roybal
23 Ms. Denise Fort, Citizen Member

24 Mr. Tom Egelhoff [non-voting]

25
26 **BDD Board Alternate Members Present:**

27 Councilor JoAnne Vigil Coppler [City alternate]
28 Ginny Selvin [Las Campanas non-voting alternate]

29
30 **Others Present:**

31 Charles Vokes, BDD Facilities Manager
32 Nancy Long, BDD Board Counsel
33 Kyle Harwood, BDD Counsel
34 Mackie Romero, BDD Financial Manager
35 Bernardine Padilla, BDD Public Relations Coordinator
36 Debra Harris-Garmendia, BDD Fiscal Administrator
37 Michael Dozier, BDD Operations Superintendent
38 Michael Kelley, County Public Works
39 Cheryl Vokes, Citizen
40 Marcos Martinez, City Attorney
41 Sandra Ely, County Utilities
42 Rita Bates, County Utilities
43 Dan Frost, Snell & Wilmer
44 Ellie Lockwood, Snell & Wilmer
45 Seth Fullerton, Katz, Herdman, MacGillivray, and Fullerton
46 Bill Schneider, City Staff
Kim Visser, Las Campanas Co-op
Joni Arends, CCNS

1 **3. Approval of Agenda** [*Exhibit 1: Agenda*]
2

3 There were no changes offered and Commissioner Hansen moved to approve the
4 agenda as published. Councilor Harris seconded and the motion passed by unanimous [4-
5 0] voice vote.
6

7 **4. Approval of Minutes: April 5, 2018**
8

9 CHAIR IVES: Any changes from staff?

10 CHARLES VOKES (Facilities Manager): Mr. Chair, no changes from
11 staff.

12 CHAIR IVES: Very good. Any changes from members of the Board?

13 COMMISSIONER HANSEN: I have a change.

14 CHAIR IVES: Commissioner.

15 COMMISSIONER HANSEN: On page – where are the page numbers -- I
16 don't see the page numbers on the page. [Page 22] On the top of the page where there are
17 changes, I'm on Matters from the Public, and then you go to the next page and then I'm
18 on the third paragraph and on the third line down, it says "something for new members to
19 come up to speed" it's f-r-o and I think it should be "for." "It seems like there should be
20 a packet or something for new members..." It should be f-o-r instead of f-r-o.

21 CHAIR IVES: So it's a typo and should be f-o-r as opposed to f-r-o.

22 COMMISSIONER HANSEN: And that was all I found.

23 CHAIR IVES: Very good. Councilor.

24 COUNCILOR HARRIS: Thank you, Chair. This would be Section 9,
25 Update on Raw Water Lift Station Modification for Vibration Issues, it's the second page
26 [Page 9] of that section and although this is one of those set of minutes when I read my
27 comments and I even wonder what I was saying, I hate to say it. But I do know what I
28 was saying so a little bit past half-way down under Councilor Harris, it starts, "No they're
29 not engineers. They're certified inspectors. So they will inspect the welds." Not wells –
30 big distinction. And that's all I had, Chair.

31 CHAIR IVES: So changing the last l to a d.

32 COUNCILOR HARRIS: Absolutely.

33 CHAIR IVES: Excellent. Very good. Any other changes from the Board?
34 What is the pleasure of the Board?

35 COMMISSIONER HANSEN: I move to approve with the changes.

36 COMMISSIONER HAMILTON: Second.

37 CHAIR IVES: Very good. We have a motion and a second.
38

39 **The motion passed by unanimous [4-0] voice vote.**
40

1
2 **INFORMATIONAL ITEMS**

3
4 **5. Monthly Update on BDD Operations**

5
6 MICHAEL DOZIER (BDD Operations Superintendent): Mr. Chair,
7 members of the Board, the raw water diversions for April have averaged 6.837 million
8 gallons a day. The drinking water deliveries through Booster Station 4A/5A have
9 averaged 5.405 million gallons a day. Raw water deliveries to Las Campanas have
10 averaged 1.152 million gallons a day. And onsite treated and non-treated water storage
11 has been around .8.

12 The BDD has been providing 77 percent of the City and County water supply for
13 the month and I stand for any questions.

14 CHAIR IVES: Very good. Questions from the Board? Very good. This
15 is an informational item, thank you.

16 MR. DOZIER: Thank you very much.
17

18 **6. Report from the Facilities Manager**

19
20 MR. VOKES : Thank you, Mr. Chair, members of the Board. A few items
21 that I want to report on. First, per Councilor Harris's suggestion we have the inspection
22 company he recommended under contract for the vibration and bracing inspection at the
23 raw water lift station. That project, we're in the process of ordering materials. We've
24 got a purchase order on it and so those materials will be arriving and that construction
25 will begin hopefully within the month. So I just wanted to update that. So, any questions
26 on that item?

27 CHAIR IVES: Councilor.

28 COUNCILOR HARRIS: I just wanted to say, thanks, Mr. Vokes, for
29 following up so quickly. I didn't know the schedule for construction but it sounds –
30 seems as though you got right on it and like you say, materials are being ordered, so we'll
31 be able to work them into the schedule and I think you'll find them very good to work
32 with.

33 MR. VOKES: Yes, sir, thank you. Okay, second item, I wanted to let the
34 Board know that we were able to perform an inspection on the diversion structure last
35 month using our coffer box. The river is in an excellent state of calm right now so that
36 made that easy to do. The seals around the screens were intact except for Cell #3 which
37 the staff repaired using the product suggested by Santa Fe County Public Works Director
38 Michael Kelley. We installed that material, put it in service for a week and then
39 reinspected it to make sure the material was holding and indeed it was. So that's a good
40 thing.

41 Also, during those inspections staff replaced broken flex hoses within the cells
42 and also the air control valves as was needed. So those should be good to go.

43 We also have contacted a company, Rain for Rent, about using one of their
44 systems to provide the clean seal water for the pumps. We were told by that company
45 that their system would not work in our application. Staff has also built a pilot plant to
46 supply clean seal water using miniature centrifugal separators. That was Deere & Ault's

1 suggestion and their design. And this pilot was tested. Unfortunately, it did not work.
2 So Deere & Ault is looking at their design and seeing if there's any modifications they
3 can make to make that pilot actually work. But at this time it kind of flopped.

4 Staff has also contacted a valve company to discuss replacement of the air valves.
5 Oh, yes, I'm sorry.

6 CHAIR IVES: There's a question on that particular item. So I thought
7 we'd take them in turn if that's okay.

8 COMMISSIONER HAMILTON: It's probably a quick question. But
9 could you explain a little better what that was a repair on – what Deere & Ault had
10 recommended? Maybe I just missed the very beginning of what you said.

11 MR. VOKES: Commissioner, we received a design from Deere & Ault
12 and if you're familiar with the big Lakos separators they're a centrifugal sand removal
13 system.

14 COMMISSIONER HAMILTON: Yes, yes.

15 MR. VOKES: Well, they had talked to a manufacturer of some very small
16 separators and so we constructed a pilot station that had six or nine of these separators
17 built into it. So the idea was that we would pump the raw water through there. Those
18 separators would then spin the sand out and provide cleaner seal water. So that was the
19 idea because we're looking for options to avoid bringing a clean water line down from
20 the plant.

21 COMMISSIONER HAMILTON: Thank you.

22 MR. VOKES: You're welcome.

23 CHAIR IVES: Just on that same point; you said it did not work and in
24 what way did it not work?

25 MR. VOKES: It plugged up within a minute or so. It just failed and kind
26 of fell on its face.

27 Also, the staff has contacted a manufacturer of valves to look at replacing of the
28 existing air valves to see if there was a valve available that would allow us to put a valve
29 key on it so that we could manually control that. And that particular company felt that
30 they were pretty confident that they could build that. The conflict with this is we want to
31 be able to install a new airburst system that is removable out through the hatch and we
32 want to make sure that whatever we do with those valves does not conflict with the
33 construction of the new airburst system. The design of the new system is that it will be in
34 several panels that then we can detach and pull out as they wear out or as they need
35 repair. So we're still looking at that and looking at a best solution.

36 I think based on the predictions for water in the river this summer we're going to
37 have quite a bit of time that we can spend inside the cells because of the water levels.
38 We're still investigation those things. So I just wanted to report on those items that the
39 committee had brought forward and we're still pursuing those. I'll stand for questions on
40 those items.

41 CHAIR IVES: Questions on that point? I do recall a discussion where the
42 airburst system was of some significance in terms of potential shutdown of the system.
43 So finding those solutions sooner rather than later in ways that address those issues is
44 significant. Where are you in that search and when do you think you will have completed
45 that prospect?

1 MR. VOKES: As I mentioned, during the inspection of the diversion one
2 of the things that staff did is look at each one of those air valves and if there was any
3 wear on those, we replaced them. Typically, those valves have been lasting anywhere
4 from six months to a year. So by doing that, we feel like we have bought ourselves some
5 time so we won't make the wrong decision on replacing those valves with something we
6 can put a valve key on. And, again, we want to make sure that that integrates with the
7 plans for replacing the airburst. Right now there is no indication of any real issues with
8 the airburst system. It's functioning. And, so, again, we want to make sure that we have
9 enough information; we know what the options are and then we'll get the group back
10 together and discuss whether we want to do Plan A, Plan B or Plan C.

11 CHAIR IVES: Good, thank you. Councilor.

12 COUNCILOR HARRIS: So did you get to the point where you removed,
13 pulled the coffer box or is it still in place?

14 MR. VOKES: No, no, sir. I think it was probably three weeks ago that
15 we completed that work. And we don't typically leave the coffer box in place. We
16 remove it and then set it on the bank.

17 COUNCILOR HARRIS: All right. And then what is your expectation for
18 when you would be ready to reinstall and look at the seals, for instance, at cell 3 or any
19 other work, not just inspection work but perhaps different valves; when do you think that
20 might be? Is it six months out? Nine months out? Three months out?

21 MR. VOKES: My expectation is that we'll have enough information for
22 the group to reconvene probably within a month and a half, somewhere along there, and
23 then, again, based on the different solutions we've come up with we want to discuss those
24 and say, Yeah, we think we should do Plan A or a combination of Plan A and Plan B or
25 whatever. So I'm looking at a month and a half out, again, I don't know what the river is
26 going to do but we're expecting that there shouldn't be any problem in reinstalling the
27 coffer box and working on the diversion this particular summer. We hope maybe there is,
28 but at this point it doesn't look like there is.

29 COUNCILOR HARRIS: Right. And you'd bring the options back to the
30 Board I assume.

31 MR. VOKES: Yes, yes and --

32 COUNCILOR HARRIS: The recommendations I should say.

33 MR. VOKES: Certainly, certainly, from the committee, yes.

34 COUNCILOR HARRIS: All right, very good. Thank you. That's all,
35 Chair.

36 MR. VOKES: Then I have a third item that I would like to update the
37 Board on. As the Board may recall we are in the process of doing a study which is called
38 a Treat Study and it's looking at the different processes within the plant. The study was
39 included in the past two DOE Memos of Understanding and was begun in March of 2016.
40 The Treat Study goal is to provide information on the efficiencies at the treatment
41 processes with respect to any contaminants that may occur in the Rio Grande source
42 water and to allow optimization of our treatment processes. This study is being funded
43 by the BDD Board above what has been provided by the MOU and LANL.

44 The study intended to take six sets of samples over a three-year period to allow us
45 to look at different seasons and different raw water source conditions. The sample
46 locations include the Rio Grande and then locations throughout the water treatment

1 processes. And we will be collecting our fifth set of samples this spring. There are over
2 100 different contaminants that are being analyzed in this study including solids, metals,
3 pharmaceuticals, radiological and other compounds.

4 The initial results of the study have shown that the majority of the compounds
5 present in the Rio Grande are reduced or removed to below detection limits by the BDD
6 treatment processes. The results also show that some of the compounds are not
7 completely removed by the processes which is not unusual for this type of study. So
8 what does this mean? First I want to emphasize that the finished water produced by the
9 BDD has and will continue to meet all federal and state drinking water standards.
10 Second, many of the detected compounds are either unregulated or have been detected at
11 levels that are in many cases hundredths or thousandths of times below the drinking water
12 standards. Third, based on the current results we have formed a team of both internal and
13 external experts to review the results and to suggest and implement improvements to the
14 study, including improving the quality of the data that we're receiving and also to reduce
15 the variability of that data. This group will also determine if the initial goal of the study
16 needs to be modified or expanded. So with that, we are going to continue the study. We
17 are going to look at modifications to the study and we will continue to report to the Board
18 the process and the progress of QA/QC review of the existing Treat sampling and
19 analytical methods on a periodic basis or as often as requested by the Board. So with
20 that, I will answer any questions about that information.

21 CHAIR IVES: Questions? Commissioner.

22 COMMISSIONER HANSEN: So on this Treat study you say that there's
23 a lot of contaminants that don't have standards, but that doesn't mean that they're not
24 harmful. So with that, like perchlorate, the standard has been lowered. I don't know what
25 the standard is for hexavalent chromium but, you know, there are different standards. So
26 what I am concerned about what this study is that we are aware of the contaminants that
27 are in the river that even though the U.S. has not created a standard for those
28 contaminants that we pay attention to it because it is still in our drinking water. And so
29 that is one of the issues that I am concerned about. I want to know the actual levels and
30 the data of the contaminants.

31 MR. VOKES: Mr. Chair and Commissioner Hansen, it is our intention to
32 post the results of the Treat study on the BDD website so that the public has access to
33 that. The other thing that we feel is important is that the public understands that we've
34 only received four sets of samples and there's a lot of variability. We are looking at some
35 of these compounds in the parts per trillion range where perhaps we're seeing just slightly
36 above the detection limit. And, again, I'm stressing that the ranges, the amounts that
37 we're seeing are at really, really low levels. Again, this study was designed to allow us to
38 optimize our processes. For example, if we are dousing with one part per million of
39 ozone what is the impact of going up to one and a half or two parts per million of ozone.
40 We've discussed a little bit with the Board some of our issues with our biofilters and the
41 back washing of those biofilters and we have, by removing the top one and a half feet of
42 the carbon in those biofilters, allowed a better backwash. We've actually doubled the
43 flow of our backwash. Again, that optimization of the processes is what we're seeking.
44 There's a lot of work being done throughout the country particularly with ozone and
45 biofilters and looking at these compounds and saying if we, say fertilize our biofilters
46 with micronutrients are they effective at removing pharmaceuticals such as ibuprofen or

1 some of the steroids that people use and, again, if you look at any raw water source
2 throughout the country you're going to be seeing these low levels. So that was the initial
3 purpose of the study But, again, based on what we're seeing we felt like it was a good
4 idea to ramp this study up and look at the quality control, bring in some outside experts
5 and perhaps do some improvements or some additional samples based on that.

6 COMMISSIONER HANSEN: Thank you.

7 CHAIR IVES: Commissioner and then Councilor.

8 COMMISSIONER HAMILTON: Thank you and in particular I
9 appreciate that you are briefing us and you mentioned that you got a group of experts
10 together to look at the study design because it is an issue when you find something in a
11 study that is designed for one thing and then you need more certainty, a different
12 sampling regime, to actually look at that further. Are they recommending – are you
13 working with this expert panel to recommend the beefing up of the study and are you
14 going to bring that back to the Board pretty soon or in what timeframe?

15 MR. VOKES: Mr. Chair and Commissioner, my expectation is that if the
16 Board desires we will make this a topic on the agenda as long as it needs to be on the
17 agenda. And I know that the committee has met three or four times. In fact, I was asking
18 one of the members just before the Board meeting the status of that committee and
19 whether we're ready to start the next sampling event. And I was informed that, no, they
20 are not to that point yet but my expectation would be is that we will receive something
21 from them during this next month and allow us to start that fifth set of samples.

22 COMMISSIONER HAMILTON: Thank you.

23 CHAIR IVES: Councilor.

24 COUNCILOR HARRIS: Thank you. Chuck, during this process and even
25 at the end when the study is complete and decisions have been made, do federal and/or
26 state regulators get involved at any point?

27 MR. VOKES: I don't know the answer to that at this point. I assume it
28 depends on what they found. I know that – I forget how many years ago, maybe 10 years
29 ago when the Associated Press did an article on pharmaceuticals in the water supplies, in
30 the raw water supplies, drinking water supplies, there were hearings in Congress and they
31 had hearings for a couple of weeks. And then after those hearings nothing was proposed.
32 So, again, we're looking at very low levels of compounds. We are well within all the
33 standards that exist right now. And, again, it could become a policy decision for the
34 Board where you're saying that we want to do a better job. We want to remove these
35 things and by simply optimizing the plant we are unable to do that and then it becomes a
36 decision of the Board. Do we want to install another more advanced treatment system to
37 address some of these compounds? At this point, I don't have the experience in these
38 matters to know what direction it's going to go and that's why we got this group together.

39 COUNCILOR HARRIS: We have no obligation that you're aware of,
40 legal or regulatory or otherwise to take these results to the state or the federal
41 government?

42 MR. VOKES: No. And, again, we're going above and beyond what the
43 majority of the water utilities in the U.S. actually do by doing this study.

44 COUNCILOR HARRIS: Very good. Thank you, sir.

45 CHAIR IVES: Commissioner.

1 COMMISSIONER HAMILTON: Yeah, I was going to add just as a
2 follow up to that, for some – there are a whole range of compounds that include
3 pharmaceuticals and personal care products; you’re right, there was a period of time
4 when there was a whole series of studies. And I know EPA did some studies. And if I’m
5 not mistaken EPA actually did or sponsored, paid for, maybe Region 6 a period of
6 sampling in the Rio Grande for personal care byproducts, that sort of thing. I’m just
7 wondering, I don’t suspect there will be any actions by EPA to make these standards but
8 if they’re of interest – are you sampling – I know you said you were sampling some
9 pharmaceuticals. Are you sampling a fairly wide range of pharmaceuticals and personal
10 care products? And maybe it would be worthwhile having somebody on staff poll some
11 of the existing information that is both local and national as for comparison.

12 MR. VOKES: Yes to all of the above.

13 COMMISSIONER HAMILTON: Thank you.

14 CHAIR IVES: Other questions from the Board? Chuck, again, any
15 additional items on your report?

16 MR. VOKES: No, Mr. Chair, thank you very much.

17 CHAIR IVES: In that case we have concluded our informational items
18 and we have no discussion and action items.

19
20 **DISCUSSION AND ACTION - None.**

21
22 **MATTERS FROM THE PUBLIC**

23
24 CHAIR IVES: Is there anybody who would like to address the Board? If
25 so, please come down and do so. There being no takers we’ll move on.

26
27 **MATTERS FROM THE BOARD**

28
29 CHAIR IVES: Commissioner.

30 COMMISSIONER HANSEN: Yes, I sent a note to Chuck earlier. I don’t
31 believe that this Board has been briefed on the BLM – State Land exchange or if it has I
32 missed it and I apologize. But I am extremely concerned about this exchange and I’m
33 actually opposed to it. It is a loss of money to the County in PILT money of about
34 \$3,000 to \$4,000 if it becomes state land and that’s per year. So I want to know where
35 we stand and what is happening with that exchange because when Commissioner Dunn
36 came to brief us at the County Commission we specifically asked him to come here and
37 brief the Buckman Direct Diversion Board. I don’t know if he has come to the City
38 Council and briefed them on this but you don’t have BLM land within the City or State
39 Land within the City so that’s not really an issue for you but it is an issue for us. So I
40 want to bring that to your attention.

41 CHAIR IVES: Commissioner, if I could just ask a question which is how
42 does the exchange relate to BDD operations?

43 COMMISSIONER HANSEN: Aubrey Dunn would like to take all of the
44 land that the Buckman Direct Diversion has from the plant to the Rio Grande and transfer
45 it to State Land so it would no longer be BLM land and then we would lose the PILT
46 money for the County which is what we use to repair the roads and do other infrastructure

1 on Diablo Canyon and other areas that are surrounding there. I do not believe they're
2 going to take the trail, the flat trail that we are building but that might still be up for
3 discussion. So I know that Ms. Long has been dealing with this and I just feel that it's
4 really important that that the Buckman Board understands these issues also because it
5 affects the County and we haven't passed a resolution saying that we're opposed but we
6 could.

7 NANCY LONG (BDD Board Counsel): Mr. Chair and Commissioner,
8 yes, you could. Of course, this came up at the end of last year when we received notice
9 from the BLM that said there was a proposed exchange and we had certain elections we
10 could make. We could do nothing and then we would become tenants basically of the
11 State Land Office instead of BLM. Or we could elect to convert our rights-of-way to
12 permanent status which we thought was something we ought to apply for so then we
13 would actually own instead of rent and we would not have a new landlord basically. So
14 we did submit those applications and really have heard nothing. And I did get in contact
15 with the BLM office this week after your inquiry Commissioner Hansen, and they say it's
16 a long process, and you may have heard more from Mr. Dunn, but it is still in process.
17 They are in the middle of getting appraisals for the property so they can determine that
18 the values are equal that they will be exchanging. They are also doing environmental
19 assessments. And that they will be back to us on what our fees will be because we paid
20 no fees with the application. They said, We'll get back to you once we determine what
21 those fees are based upon appraisals and other information.

22 So apparently, it sounds like it is still moving forward very slowly but the Board
23 has not taken any position, you are correct, officially on whether this exchange should
24 happen or not. We just said if it's going to happen we want to covert ours to perpetuity
25 but we don't know what the price tag is for that yet either, they haven't told us.

26 COMMISSIONER HANSEN: So I just strongly feel that that is
27 something that should come to the Board for Board decision. And I want to make the
28 case for the fact that this is BLM land and we get PILT money at the County which helps
29 us take care of the roads, it takes care of the parks, takes care of the trails out there and
30 that is something that all of our constituents use and are concerned about. So I have some
31 issues about it and Commissioner Dunn knows that from meeting with us because I have
32 gone to a number of these hearings at the State Land Office last year. Myself and
33 Commissioner Anaya both attended and we're concerned. We don't want these lands to
34 become State land. BLM is a better landlord for us, we believe.

35 CHAIR IVES: Commissioner.

36 COMMISSIONER HAMILTON: Just a detail: are they talking about all
37 the BLM lands that are associated with Buckman?

38 COMMISSIONER HANSEN: Uh huh.

39 COMMISSIONER HAMILTON: All of them?

40 COMMISSIONER HANSEN: Yes.

41 COMMISSIONER HAMILTON: So the effect would be that there's no
42 federal involvement in the Buckman Direct Diversion – no federal lands.

43 COMMISSIONER HANSEN: The Buckman would be no longer on any
44 federal land.

45 MS. LONG: Yes, Commissioner Hansen, that is correct.

1 CHAIR IVES: I would ask, have we reviewed any of the underlying
2 exchange documents?

3 MS. LONG: No, Mr. Chair, we have not.

4 CHAIR IVES: I would be curious to see how those documents actually
5 treat the Board's request potentially making those lands permanently belong to the Board
6 as opposed to sort of extracting them from the exchange. Maybe I could ask –

7 MS. LONG: At this point, my understanding is they have not made that
8 determination but I was informed that there were a number of other applicants that also
9 applied for perpetual status and all of those were being reviewed and that they would get
10 back to us as to whether that would be acceptable and what the price would be but they
11 have not analyzed that yet. The Taos office is the field office that is reviewing that.

12 COMMISSIONER HANSEN: And, Mr. Chair.

13 CHAIR IVES: Yes.

14 COMMISSIONER HANSEN: So, one of the other problems to know
15 about BLM is that the Taos field office has now had at least three different directors. So
16 there has been a constant turnover so there's nobody been home, sort of speak, and the
17 land would not belong to the Board. The land would still belong to the state. We would
18 just have a perpetual easement, okay. So it's not – we're to going to own that land. We
19 would just have it in perpetuity.

20 MS. LONG: That is correct. We would not have to go back for renewals
21 or they couldn't change the terms. We would convert to the status of a permanent tenant.
22 Right now the rights-of-way easements have to be renewed periodically.

23 COMMISSIONER HANSEN: The patents are given for how many years?

24 MS. LONG: I believe all were 10 year, some were 10 years and some
25 were longer. Maybe it was just for the cable/infrastructure the IT aspect was a shorter
26 term and the others were maybe 20 years.

27 CHAIR IVES: In my experience, if you're talking lease type
28 circumstances, you're talking special use permits as opposed to patents. A patent is
29 usually a fee transfer in the federal system.

30 Perhaps we could simply ask the BLM for an update and a status report at the next
31 meeting of the Board so we know where they're at and if they have any anticipated
32 timeframes of what those might be.

33 MS. LONG: Mr. Chair, are you asking for the BLM representative to
34 attend or just to relay their report to you?

35 CHAIR IVES: Inquiry to them about the status, I think, and if it is getting
36 to the point of action then it might be appropriate to have them come and brief us more
37 fully. It sounds like it is – just given my experience with working with BLM in my day
38 job – than can be a long period.

39 MS. LONG: It can be and it's been difficult to get information and
40 they're thinking that it will take at least all year. And it's a broader exchange. It's not
41 just property that we're involved with. It's basically statewide.

42 COMMISSIONER HANSEN: It's the whole state. Commissioner Dunn
43 has selected areas that he is transferring partly to do with the Rio Grande del Norte and
44 having to do with the Organ Mountain monument down south. There's a number – it's
45 not a small little – we haven't been especially sectioned out in this matter. But it is a
46 statewide exchange.

1 CHAIR IVES: Very good. Let's get an update, unless there is imminent
2 action in which case, let's by all means invite the BLM.

3 MS. LONG: All right, Mr. Chair. We'll see what we can round up for
4 you by way of an update at the next meeting.

5 COMMISSIONER HANSEN: And possibly the State Land Office.

6 MS. LONG: Yes, that would be a good avenue as well.

7 COMMISSIONER HANSEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

8 CHAIR IVES: Other matters from the Board?

9
10 **NEXT REGULAR MEETING: Thursday, June 7, 2018 @ 4:15pm**

11
12 **EXECUTIVE SESSION**

13 **In accordance with the New Mexico Open Meetings Act NMSA 1978 Section**
14 **10-15-1(H)(7), discussion regarding threatened or pending litigation in which**
15 **the BDDB is, or may become a participant, including without limitation:**
16 **Discussion regarding Diversion Structure issues**
17

18 CHAIR IVES: With that, I would entertain a motion to adjourn and to
19 move into executive session.

20 MS. LONG: Yes, Mr. Chair, for that purpose as stated on the agenda.

21 CHAIR IVES: Is there a motion?

22 COMMISSIONER HAMILTON: I would so move.

23 CHAIR IVES: Very good. Is there a second to said motion?

24 COUNCILOR HARRIS: Second.

25 CHAIR IVES: We have a motion and a second. All those –

26 MS. LONG: Mr. Chair, you'll need a roll call. We have a combined
27 adjournment but also with executive session.

28
29 The motion to go into executive session passed by unanimous [4-0] voice vote with the
30 following BDD Board members voting in the affirmative:

31 Councilor Ives Yes

32 Councilor Harris Yes

33 Commissioner Hansen Yes

34 Commissioner Hamilton Yes

35
36 **ADJOURNMENT**

37
38 Having completed the agenda, Councilor Ives declared this meeting adjourned at
39 approximately 5:05 p.m.

40
41 Approved by:

42
43
44
45
46 _____
Peter Ives, Board Chair

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

Respectfully submitted:

Karen Farrell, Wordswork

ATTEST TO:

GERALDINE SALAZAR
SANTA FE COUNTY CLERK